

SYNCRONIZATION IN BIOPHYSICAL NEURAL NETWORKS

Angelo Di Garbo^{1,2}, Michele Barbi¹, Santi Chillemi¹

¹Istituto di Biofisica CNR, Via G. Moruzzi 1, 56100 - Pisa (ITALY)

²Istituto Nazionale di Ottica Applicata, Largo E. Fermi 6, Firenze (ITALY)

{digarbo, barbi, chillemi}@ib.pi.cnr.it

<http://www.ib.pi.cnr.it>

Electrical recordings of neural activity reveal that synchronous oscillatory behaviour occurs in different brain areas and some of these rhythms (30 – 100 Hz) seem to be implicated in the information coding [Gray & Singer, 1989;]. Experimental results suggest that the binding process, during a sensory task, could be explained by assuming that neuron populations synchronize their firing activities [Gray et al., 1989]. There is increasing evidence that inhibitory interneurons contribute to the brain rhythms by synchronizing the discharges of many pyramidal cells, but how the coupling between interneurons promotes their synchronization is not well understood [Lytton & Sejnowski, 1991; Wang & Rinzl, 1992; Cobb et al., 1995; Whittington et al., 1995; Beirlein et al., 2000]. However, recent studies on the neocortex have shown that both chemical and electrical coupling among cells play an important role in determining the synchronous discharge of coupled Fast-Spiking (FS) interneurons [Galarreta & Hestrin, 1999; Gibson et al., 1999; Beirlein et al., 2000]. In particular it was found that, besides inhibitory chemical synapses, the existence of electrical coupling among FS cells provides an additional synchronization mechanism for FS interneurons.

In this study, by using a biophysical single-compartment model of FS interneuron, we first investigate the dynamical properties of a single self-inhibited unit, then we analyze the synchronization phenomena in small networks of FS cells interacting by means of chemical and electrical synapses. In particular we study how the coupling features (like the decay time of the synaptic current and coupling intensities) influence the synchronization properties of FS cells.

References

- Beirlein M, Gibson JR & Connors BW (2000), "A network of electrically coupled interneurons drives synchronized inhibition in neocortex" *Nature Neuroscience*, 3, 904-910.
- Cobb SR, Buhl EH, Halasy K, Paulsen O & Somogyi P (1995), "Synchronization of neuronal activity in hippocampus by individual GABAergic interneurons" *Nature*, 378, 75-78.
- Galarreta M & Hestrin S (1999), "A network of fast-spiking cells in the cortex connected by electrical synapses" *Nature*, 402, 72-75.
- Gibson JR, Beirlein M & Connors BW (1999), "Two networks of electrically coupled inhibitory neurons in neocortex" *Nature*, 402, 75-79.
- Gray CM, Koning P, Engel AK & Singer W (1989), "Oscillatory responses in cat visual cortex exhibit inter-columnar synchronisation which reflects global stimulus properties" *Nature*, 338, 334-337.
- Gray GM & Singer W (1989), "Stimulus-specific neuronal oscillations in orientation columns of cat visual cortex" *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 86, 1698-1702.
- Lytton WW & Sejnowski TJ (1991), "Simulations of cortical pyramidal neurons synchronized by inhibitory interneurons" *J. Neurophysiol.*, 66, 1059-1079.
- Wang XJ & Rinzl J (1992), "Alternating and synchronous rhythms in reciprocally inhibitory model neurons" *Neural Comput.*, 4, 84-97.
- Whittington MA, Traub RD & Jefferys JGR (1995), "Synchronised oscillations in interneuron networks driven by metabotropic glutamate receptor activation" *Nature*, 373, 612-615.

